DOCKET NO. LLI-CV-21-5013836-S : SUPERIOR COURT

MACCHIAROLI, ANTHONY : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

LITCHFIELD

v. : AT TORRINGTON

:

GRECO, DAVE, ET AL. : DECEMBER 30, 2022

# PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF CLAIM REGARDING DISCONTINUANCE OF COOK ROAD

Plaintiff Anthony Macchiaroli ("Plaintiff") respectfully submits this Reply Brief in support of his claim that Defendants David and Angela Greco, Dark Entry Forest, and David Colbert (collectively, "Defendants") did not, and cannot, meet their burden of proving that the Town of Cornwall (the "Town") strictly complied with the statutory requirements for discontinuing Cook Road.

#### I. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

The Defendants' briefs do not point to any new evidence beyond the Town meeting minutes in the record. For the reasons set forth in the Plaintiff's initial brief, these Town meeting minutes standing alone do not satisfy the statutory requirements. The Defendants also cite to several cases arguing that these cases support their position; but these cases are all distinguishable because they do not deal with a record similar to the factual record in this case before this Court. For example, in Savalle v. Hilzinger, 123 Conn. App. 174 (2010), the issue of any action (or not) by the selectmen was not argued before the Appellate Court and the statement in the decision about the selectmen's action is dicta and does not countermand any of the authorities cited by the Plaintiff. Similarly, Mackie v. Hull, 69 Conn. App. 538 (2002) supports

the Plaintiff's position for the reasons set forth in his initial brief, and the Defendants' attempt to distinguish it relies entirely on its mistaken characterization of the Town meeting minutes.

The Defendants also argue that the road was abandoned, but there is nothing in the record to support this position including when it allegedly occurred.

The Defendants' entire position relies on its argument that the Town Meeting minutes constitute evidence of the Selectmen's action to discontinue the road. The minutes do not carry this burden however for all the reasons stated in the Plaintiff's initial brief. Once that conclusion is accepted, all of the remainder of the Defendants' arguments necessarily fail.

### THE PLAINTIFF,

#### ANTHONY MACCHIAROLI

By /s/ William S. Fish, Jr.

William S. Fish, Jr. Christopher H.M. Carter (PHV) David A. DeBassio Hinckley, Allen & Snyder LLP 20 Church Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103-1221

Phone: (860) 331-2764 Fax: (860) 278-3802 Juris No. 428858

ccarter@hinckleyallen.com wfish@hinckleyallen.com ddebassio@hinckleyallen.com

2

## **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via electronic mail on this 30<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2022 to the following counsel of record:

Kent Mancini Cramer & Anderson 46 West Street P.O. Box 278 Litchfield, Connecticut 06759 kmancini@crameranderson.com

Shelby L. Dattilo
Thomas C. Blatchley
Gordon & Rees LLP,
95 Glastonbury Boulevard, Suite 206
Glastonbury, CT 06033
sdattilo@grsm.com
tblatchley@grsm.com

Frank J. Garofalo III, AAG Office of the Attorney General 110 Sherman Street, Hartford, CT 06105 Frank.Garofalo@ct.gov

Keith Ainsworth 51 Elm Street, Suite 201 New Haven, CT 06510 keithrainsworth@live.com

/s/ William S. Fish, Jr.
William S. Fish, Jr.